

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/679,833	10/06/2003	Bret A. Ferree	BAF-15302/29	3712
7590 08/10/2005			EXAMINER	
John G. Posa			COMSTOCK, DAVID C	
Gifford, Krass,	Groh, Sprinkle,			
Anderson & Citkowski, P.C.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
280 N. Old Woodward Ave., Suite 400			3732	
Birmingham, MI 48009-5394			D. TE. M. H. ED. 00/10/200	_

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	ω
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/679,833	FERREE, BRET A.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	David Comstock	3732
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Agrae</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under Egyptimes.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-13 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r election requirement.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 October 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 7 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Epstein et al. (5,556,434; cited by Applicant).

Epstein et al. disclose a prosthesis 10 comprising first and second prosthetic components, i.e. the acetabular component 14,16 and the stem component 60 (see Figs. 1 and 3). A plurality of roller bearings 32,34,46,56,58 are situated between the two prosthetic components to reduce friction. The components are tethered to each other, i.e. fastened or restricted by their mechanical relationship. The prosthesis allows rotation about two orthogonal planes defined by the axes extending vertically through the member 30 and horizontally through the member 56 (as seen in Fig. 1). The stem 60 of the prosthesis can rotate about one of the planes, relative to the remainder of the prosthesis.

Claims 1-3 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Albrektsson et al. (5,443,516).

Albrektsson et al. disclose a joint prosthesis comprising first and second prosthetic components 1aa,2aa and a plurality of roller elements 32aa' in a roller

bearing between the prosthetic components (see Fig. 2). The prosthetic components are capable of being used on both small joints, e.g. fingers and knuckles, as well as large joints such as the components of the knee (see col. 1, lines 10-13). The components include a recess, e.g. 11aa or 22aa, for receiving the rollers. The bearing is sealed, at least somewhat, by the walls of the bracket 22aa. The prosthetic components are tethered to each other, i.e. fastened or restricted, by the fasteners 42aa, the brackets 11aa and 22aa, and their mechanical relationship.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Epstein et al. (5,556,434; cited by Applicant).

Epstein et al. disclose the claimed invention except for a seal on the bearings.

Open bearings and sealed bearings were well-known equivalents in the mechanical arts at the time of the invention. Therefore, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute sealed bearings for open bearings, as this would merely be the substitution of functionally equivalent bearing types.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Epstein et al. (5,556,434; cited by Applicant) in view of Nassar (5,389,107).

Application/Control Number: 10/679,833

Art Unit: 3732

Epstein et al. disclose the claimed invention except for the shock absorber. Nassar discloses a prosthetic joint 10 having a shock absorber 34 in order to cushion compressive forces applied between the femur and the pelvic bones and increase the safety and comfort of the device for the user (see, e.g., Fig. 1; Abstract; and col. 1, lines 44-59). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the prosthesis of Epstein et al. with a shock absorber, in view of Nassar, in order to cushion compressive forces applied between the femur and the pelvic bones and increase the safety and comfort of the device for the user

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 05 April 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's sole argument is that Albrektsson et al. do not disclose a plurality of rollers, each rotatatable about an axis generally medial to lateral. However this contention is without merit since Albrektsson clearly discloses six ball bearing rollers 32aa' in a roller bearing. Each is capable of being rotated about an axis generally medial to lateral (see Fig. 2).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/679,833 Page 5

Art Unit: 3732

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Comstock whose telephone number is (571) 272-4710. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Comstock

7 August 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER